

Testimony

Submitted on behalf of the Pennsylvania Chamber of Business and Industry

Public Hearing on Consumer Data Privacy

Before the: Pennsylvania House Consumer Affairs Committee

Presented by:

Alex Halper Director, Government Affairs

May 25, 2022

417 Walnut Street Harrisburg, PA 17101-1902 717.720.5471 phone http://www.pachamber.org Chairman Marshall, Chairman Matzie and members of the House Consumer Affairs Committee, my name is Alex Halper and I am Director of Government Affairs for the Pennsylvania Chamber of Business and Industry. The PA Chamber is the largest, broad-based business advocacy association in Pennsylvania. We represent nearly 10,000 employers of all sizes, from sole proprietors to Fortune 100 companies, crossing all industry sectors throughout the Commonwealth and representing around 50 percent of the private-sector workforce. Thank you for the opportunity to testify today about consumer data privacy.

Pennsylvania has a strong tradition as home to catalysts for technological innovation and advancement. From the industrial revolution to today, Pennsylvania's citizens, employers, higher education community and other institutions have led development of new products, enhanced processes and new technologies to make practically every industry more efficient, stimulate the economy and improve our quality of life.

The PA Chamber has urged policymakers to help create a legislative and regulatory climate, at the state and local level, that encourages access to enhanced technology for all Pennsylvania residents and employers, and allows the technology sector to grow and thrive. <u>Our technology policy position statement</u>, which was developed based on input from PA Chamber members and ultimately

approved by our Board of Directors, outlines a number of themes that guide our advocacy and approach to public policy including:

- Calling for regular review and, when appropriate and possible, elimination of impediments, such as excessive taxes and regulations, to the technology industries that may hinder their ability to compete in today's global marketplace;
- Urging lawmakers to avoid actions that would create unnecessary bureaucracy or regulations to govern and administer technology infrastructure development;
- Further discouraging state policymakers from adopting public policy more burdensome or stringent than Federal policy, which could hurt Pennsylvania's competitiveness.

Legislation to regulate the manner in which the private sector collects and uses consumer data is not necessarily incongruent with these principles; however, they do suggest that a far more preferable approach would be standards established at the federal level. A national framework would level the playing field so individual states are not put at a competitive disadvantage, and provide for uniformity so employers operating or with customers in multiple states are not forced to comply with a patchwork of individual state or local policies and requirements.

Congress, however, has not yet advanced consumer data privacy legislation and in the meantime a number of states have enacted laws. The California Consumer Privacy Act was passed in 2018 and California voters passed additional data privacy legislation via referendum in 2020. Subsequent laws were passed in Colorado, Virginia, Utah and Connecticut.

According to the National Conference of State Legislatures¹ at least 34 states and the District of Columbia have introduced or considered almost 200 consumer privacy bills in 2022. The country is unfortunately on a path to the patchwork scenario the business community has warned would be detrimental to employers, consumers and the economy.

The impact on employers could be significant and would most certainly extend well beyond the technology sector to include employers of different sizes from a vast array of industries. The Information Technology and Innovation Foundation released <u>a</u> <u>report²</u> in Jan. 2022 in which it estimates that, in the absence of Congress passing privacy legislation, state privacy laws could impose out-of-state costs on employers of

¹ "2022 Consumer Privacy Legislation," National Conference of State Legislatures, April 12, 2022, <u>https://www.ncsl.org/research/telecommunications-and-information-technology/2022-consumer-privacy-legislation.aspx</u>

² Daniel Castro, Luke Dascoli and Gillian Diebold, "The Looming Cost of a Patchwork of State Privacy Laws," Information Technology and Innovation Foundation, Jan. 2022, Pg. 8.

between \$98 billion and \$112 billion annually, with between \$20-23 billion of that cost falling on small businesses.

Potentially further complicating the regulatory environment for employers is the possibility that the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) could soon promulgate rules related to data protection. The <u>FTC announced late last year</u>³ that it was considering initiating a rulemaking related to data security, privacy and regulating algorithmic decision-making. It is important to note that FTC rules do not preempt state law, and therefore employers under this scenario would be required to comply with multiple standards each regulating the same policies and conduct.

Employers frequently report frustration attempting to simultaneously administer laws from various levels of government – federal, state and local – that are similar in purpose but deviate in details, which complicates compliance efforts. These 'compliance trap' scenarios are particularly challenging for smaller employers and nonprofits with limited resources and a small, or often nonexistent, regulatory compliance department. And, as previously discussed, inconsistent laws are also difficult for multi-state employers who must decipher and adhere to both federal and state standards and often multiple states laws.

³ Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, <u>https://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/eAgendaViewRule?publd=202110&RIN=3084-AB69</u>

We believe a national approach to regulating consumer data privacy is clearly preferable and that supportive lawmakers should work with members of U.S. House and Senate and like-minded advocates and organizations, like the U.S. Chamber of Commerce, to advance this priority in Congress.

That said, we recognize the futility with which lawmakers and advocates may view waiting for Congress to act. If the Pennsylvania legislature is committed to pursuing consumer data privacy legislation, we strongly encourage lawmakers to consider and incorporate input from the broad range of employers who would be impacted.

Experiences from other states have shown that early and regular employer participation in developing legislation can help facilitate a constructive process and lead to a more successful outcome. For example, Virginia's law, introduced by State Senator David Mardsen (D) and signed by former Governor Ralph Northam (D), was developed in coordination with the employer community and ultimately reflected input from a range of stakeholders.

At the same time, <u>Senator Mardsen in a statement said</u>⁴ "This is a huge step forward. By creating this omnibus bill, we take the lead in data privacy in the United States.

Rebecca Klar, "Virginia governor signs comprehensive data privacy law," The Hill, https://thehill.com/policy/technology/541290-virginia-governor-signs-comprehensive-data-privacy-law/

This omnibus bill is clear, concise, and holds companies accountable for protecting consumer data in providing protections for consumers."

On the other hand, the employer community appeared to be generally viewed with hostility in California as that state developed and implemented its data privacy law. We strongly encourage Pennsylvania lawmakers to pursue both a process and final product that more closely resembles Virginia and rejects a California-style hostility to employers.

We believe effective and functional data privacy legislation can enhance transparency and direct employers to clearly and proactively communicate to consumers how personal consumer information is used. It can empower consumers, for example, to request additional information regarding data collection and sharing with third parties. Consumers who would prefer their information not be shared with third parties, or who would like previously collected data to be deleted, should have the right to submit these requests with the confidence that the employer will comply.

Consumer data privacy legislation can achieve these objectives and its intended purpose in general, while still addressing legitimate concerns and suggestions from employers, including, but not limited to:

- Recognizing that well-meaning, honest employers may struggle to interpret the law and regulations, especially as its being implemented, and providing an opportunity for missteps to be corrected;
- Delegating exclusive enforcement authority to the appropriate state agency and prohibiting private rights of action, which will certainly encourage unfair and opportunistic lawsuits, and sow confusion as various decisions create different or contradictory standards;
- Exempting entities whose collection and use of consumer information is already regulated;
- Ensuring employers may continue to offer popular customer rewards and loyalty programs, even if the law generally prohibits discrimination against customers who exercise their right to stop data collection;
- Carefully crafting provisions around data collection opt-out so consumer data is not necessarily removed from all collections in the event a single opt-out is requested;

- Making a distinction between "consumer" data and standard personal information that employers often collect from employees, independent contractors, shareholders, etc.
- Recognizing when and the extent to which personal data collection is often integral to important work on behalf of society and individual communities – from public safety, to advancing financial inclusion, to improving medicine and health outcomes. Any law should take particular care to avoid stifling these types of important missions;
- Focusing this area of public policy at the state level (if the prospect of fifty state laws is daunting, the prospect of thousands of municipal laws is simply untenable) and preparing to defer to a national standard if one is ultimately adopted.

We appreciate the Committee's attention to this important matter and for the opportunity to testify.